

**WV NF Reimbursement Workgroup - Bi-Weekly Meetings
MEETING MINUTES**

DATE AND TIME	LOCATION
Thursday, March 9, 2023 9:00 – 10:30am EST	VIRTUAL via TEAMS
ADVISORY COMMITTEE	
Member List Below	
Meeting Cadence: Bi-Weekly Meetings via Teams Meeting	

Attendees*: *Not inclusive of Call-in Users.

	Present?	Attendee	Present?	Attendee
Invitees:		Alex Montileone	X	Lane Ellis
		Andy Page	X	Lori Greer-Harris
	X	Barbara Skeen		Mandy Carpenter
	X	Catie Mellott		Melanie Dempsey
		Cindy Beane	X	Michelle Pettey
	X	Dan Brendel	X	Regina McCormick
	X	David McCauley	X	Shawn Eddy
		Gregg Gibbs	X	Sherry Jarvis
	X	Jeanne Snow	X	Terry McGee
	X	Jeff Bush	X	Todd Jones
	X	Kayla McCully		Tonya Jones
		Kris Pattison	X	Tracy Mitchell
		Whitney Sharp		Marty Wright

AGENDA ITEMS	LEAD	DURATION (MINS)
1. Roll Call/Housekeeping <ul style="list-style-type: none"> See above for attendees 	Jeff Bush	5
2. Special Population Analysis Discussion <p>M/S:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wanted to look at different analysis and what Massachusetts has been doing, did look at Massachusetts and the reimbursement rule and \$50 per resident that meeting certain criteria. It looks like an agreed upon value rather than one based on correlation in data. Looking at e series in behavioral health, if you are meeting any of those criteria it is a simple add on, we just do not have any information how they came to that amount and agreement. Suggested having additional requirement such as behavioral specialist on staff to qualify for add-on. <p>Workgroup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Circulate the MA memo to the group; really, bottom of page 3 is the 	All	35

<p>criteria they are using.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A lot of states are incorporating telehealth and telemed to help improve quality of care Workgroup to pull some examples and thoughts of states using telehealth for the group for next meeting <p>DHHR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Can look at fiscal analysis and the impact and decide if that's the rational approach 		
<p>3. Rate Model Discussion</p> <p>M/S:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working with the state in looking at 12/31 costs and once that's available we will share that with the workgroup <p>Workgroup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Talked about a four-year transition period, there will be challenges with facilities. Maybe just think about adding that to the agenda moving forward. 	All	35
<p>4. Cost Report Changes and Timeline Discussion</p>	All	5
<p>5. Clinical Workgroup Update</p> <p>M/S:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Right now we are looking through specific scenarios, any facilities that fall below the threshold, new facilities that may be added into the program <p>Workgroup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Going to meet early next week and go through those scenarios, information around where the dollars come from and framework around it 	All	10
<p>6. Methodology Decision Timeline</p> <p>M/S:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for timeline addressing phase-in Introduced May 31st deadline and recommended weekly meetings to meet deadline 	All	10
<p>7. Open Discussion</p>	Myers and Stauffer	5

MEETING ACTION ITEMS AND DECISIONS MADE

Status	Task	Assigned To
Pending	Action:	Myers and Stauffer
Complete	Decision Made:	All