

# **Senate Bill 419 Advisory Committee**

## **Quality Measurement Discussion**

August 18, 2022

#### Agenda

 Quality Measurement – Stakeholder Feedback Discussion

- New Measures
  - Social Determinants of Health (SDOH)
     Measures
- General Measurement Feedback/Considerations

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #1: Initiation and Engagement of Substance Use Disorder Treatment (IET)	Claims	Process
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for evaluation of the SB419 Pilot?	
<ul> <li>ED/ Hospital should be responsible for collecting the data on referrals to treatment. The RTC or outpatient facility can then report if the patient is scheduled or has engaged in treatment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate as the ED is a crucial time to capture those with SUD and refer to treatment (early intervention). This measure would also show how the RTC or outpatient program follows up with patient for continued services.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>The Health Plan collects and reports this information at this time. Sorting the data by facility and by members admitted to the facility, which may be a heavy lift.</li> <li>Hospitals with current comprehensive SUD programs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This would provide insight and tracking across th continuum, but HIPAA could be an issue across le of care. MCOs would be able to provide this information as needed.</li> </ul>	
would have this information readily available.	<ul> <li>This does not specifically performance.</li> </ul>	related to SUD provider

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #2: Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Substance Use	Claims	Process
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for evalu	ation of the SB419 Pilot?
The RTC/ outpatient facility would be best to report this measure, based upon the list of referrals from ED.	<ul> <li>This measure would be a pilot program. This mea effectively the RTC/outp</li> </ul>	sure would show how
<ul> <li>Review of ED admissions cannot be limited to those with a primary diagnosis of SUD.</li> </ul>	, ,	ate type of services for their
MCO can report. The only "trick" would be sorting the data by facility and by members admitted to the facility.	Very appropriate but change hospitalization where SU	0 0 00 7
<ul> <li>MCOs would be the best provider of this information- concern is that most persons presenting for Overdose- primary diagnosis could also be heart attack, breathing problems, etc- SUD is not always primary.</li> </ul>	•	ecipients and are not likely to asure. Not a measure of SUD

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #3: Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (OUD)	Claims	Process
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for evalua	ation of the SB419 Pilot?
<ul> <li>This measure could be reported by the RTC/outpatient SUD program for patients that are actively engaged in services.</li> </ul>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
<ul> <li>Reporting on this data would be a twofold process of identifying members with opiate use disorder from the targeted population (identified by facility) and cross referencing to pharmacy claims for MAT of one type or another.</li> </ul>	Promotes evidence base	d care for OUD.
This measure could easily be tracked by MCOs.		
<ul> <li>May require SUD provider self reporting. May be able to pull from claims.</li> </ul>		

Claims	
Cidinis	Process
Appropriateness for evalu	uation of the SB419 Pilot?
'	•
Very appropriate.	
·	e PHQ tools, some use other such as the Beck, and many
	<ul> <li>Not all providers use the standardized measures</li> </ul>

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #5: Depression Remission at Six Months	Claims	Outcome
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for eva	luation of the SB419 Pilot?
<ul> <li>This measure could be reported by the RTC/outpatient SUD program for patients that are actively engaged in services.</li> <li>This should be collected at each level of care.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>depression. Consider a measuring performant than 5 on a PHQ-9.</li> <li>Appropriate for dually</li> <li>Not specific for SUD p</li> <li>Collecting the information</li> </ul>	tient programs are treating a reduction in score before ce based upon being lower diagnosed.  roviders.  tion and reporting it may be lly, in the recovery period six

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #6: Depression Remission at Twelve Months	Claims	Outcome
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for eva	luation of the SB419 Pilot?
<ul> <li>This measure could be reported by the RTC/outpatient SUD program for patients that are actively engaged in services.</li> </ul>	depression. Consider	seful to determine how cient programs are treating a reduction in score before ce based upon a set score of
<ul> <li>Depending on how it is collected, this may be a more suitable assessment time for progress in depression</li> </ul>	5 on below on the PH	Q-9.
however goal seems a bit excessive, possibly. Collection will be challenging in terms of finding the member post	Appropriate for dually	diagnosed.
discharge.	Not specific for SUD p	roviders.
This should be collected at each level of care.	_	ition and reporting it may be ally, in the recovery period six arly to assess.

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #7: Depression Response at Six Months- Progress Towards Remission	Claims	Outcome
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for eva	luation of the SB419 Pilot?
<ul> <li>This measure could be reported by the RTC/outpatient SUD program for patients that are actively engaged in services.</li> <li>This should be collected at each level of care.</li> </ul>	performance of RTC/or preceding measures. 9 score of 5 may not be with dysthymia. Howe by 50% seems to more effectively their patient treated and the ongoi.  • If patient is still in treated.  • Not specific for SUD positive seems.	roviders.
	to assess.	9

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #8: Depression Response at Twelve Months- Progress Towards Remission	Claims	Outcome
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for eva	luation of the SB419 Pilot?
<ul> <li>This measure could be reported by the RTC/outpatient SUD program for patients that are actively engaged in services.</li> </ul>	reflection of the perfo	his measure could be useful,
<ul> <li>Nice measure, very applicable, collected informally by most residential and OP providers (meaning without using a tool) and is a good goal of treatment. Challenging to collect once the person leaves your door step.</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Appropriate tool.</li><li>Not specific for SUD p</li></ul>	roviders and complex to
This should be collected at each level of care.		ly be unreliable measure of

ument-Based ata, Other	Outcome
•	
opriateness for eva	luation of the SB419 Pilot?
lection of the perforpation of the perforpation of the performant	roviders and complex to ly be unreliable measure of
ie le t	priateness for evaluation is important program. To not as reliable as continuous for SUD proprinter. Would like

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #10: Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers and at High Dosage in Persons Without Cancer	Claims	Process
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for eval	uation of the SB419 Pilot?
<ul> <li>This measure would be best reported by the Board of Pharmacy, as they would have access to this data.</li> </ul>	already tracking this th	er dropping measure. We are rough the CSMP, it will be urate and reliable data for
This measure is more preventive than interventional. Most     WV prescribers have become acutely aware of the pharmacy		
summary data base and the taboos on prescribing high doses of opioids.	<ul> <li>I do not feel that this measure is appropriate for SE</li> <li>419. All providers should be checking a patients</li> <li>board of pharmacy for multiple controlled substan</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Board of Pharmacy access is limited; Pharmacy's may have a better handle to track- MCOs may also be an option if patient did not pay cash.</li> </ul>	•	escribing any MAT. Have not aving more than one
	Appropriate tool, but co	ould be hard to track.
	•	ement providers and primary SUD provider performance.

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #11: Continuity of Care After Medically Managed Withdrawal from Alcohol and/or Drugs	Claims	Process
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for eva	luation of the SB419 Pilot?
<ul> <li>This measure could be reported by the RTC/outpatient SUD program for patients that are actively engaged in services.</li> <li>MCO feedback: Good and useful measure that we do not currently collect. Would require a contract expansion for our data reporting agency I believe (assuming that they can do it, which they should be able to do).</li> <li>This should be a shared collection of data from the discharging residential/inpatient facility and the receiving</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Very appropriate.</li> <li>Worthy measures if we can pull data specific to SUD providers.</li> </ul>	

Measure Name	Data Source	Measure Type
Measure #12: Continuity of Care after Inpatient or Residential Treatment for Substance Use Disorder (SUD)	Claims	Process
Who is responsible for collection of this measure?	Appropriateness for eva	luation of the SB419 Pilot?
<ul> <li>This measure could be reported by the RTC/outpatient SUD program for patients that are actively engaged in services.</li> </ul>	outpatient SUD progra	eflect the success of the RTC/ am to connect patients with rould consider it appropriate
<ul> <li>Good and useful measure that we do not currently collect. Would require a contract expansion for our data reporting agency I believe (assuming that they can do it, which they should be able to do).</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Very appropriate</li><li>Worthy measures if we</li></ul>	e can pull data specific to the
<ul> <li>This should be a shared collection of data from the discharging residential/inpatient facility and the receiving outpatient/IOP entity.</li> </ul>	SUD providers	

- Addition of SDOH Measures
- NCQA Social Need Screening and Intervention (SNS-E) Measure- screen members for unmet food, housing, and transportation needs and determines if an intervention was performed for identified needs.
  - **Food screening:** The percentage of members who were screened for unmet food needs.
  - **Food intervention:** The percentage of members who received a corresponding intervention within 1 month of screening positive for unmet food needs.
  - Housing screening: The percentage of members who were screened for unmet housing needs.
  - Housing intervention: The percentage of members who received a corresponding intervention within 1 month of screening positive for unmet housing needs.
  - Transportation screening: The percentage of members who were screened for unmet transportation needs.
  - **Transportation intervention:** The percentage of members who received a corresponding intervention within 1 month of screening positive for unmet transportation needs.

- SDOH tool We currently complete Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) related reporting associated with our SAMSHA funding which is collected at a 6 month cycle. We have found data collection post graduation becomes more and more difficult over time (beyond 12 months).
- Need clarification or at least clearer definitions of works like "recovery"- is it completely abstinent? Where does MAT fit in?
- 'Safe Housing' for a person who was homeless at the City Mission would be a blessing; Where does employment and stay at home parenting fit in?
- Will the incentive payment be large enough to cover the additional labor required to be compliant with data collection? Is it possible that agencies will be differentially rewarded based on what kinds of patients they take (Medicaid vs private insurance, etc)? For example, if Medicaid patients are lost to follow up more frequently. What about patients who change agencies? Who gets credit for their success or failure?

- Everyone from the ER through outpatient will need trained and we will need to make referrals as easy as possible to get compliance. I think it is obvious that many people are not getting referred to outpatient treatment from inpatient admissions. Unless this first year is taking a baseline and we want our numbers to get better after implementation.
- As a general observation, I am concerned that we have yet to find a way to reliably and validly address the required measures about drug free status, employment, housing, aftercare, transportation and or relapse.
- Published literature review on residential treatment outcomes "results suggest that
  best practice rehabilitation treatment integrates mental health treatment and provides
  continuity of care post-discharge." I don't believe we should measure or focus on
  practices or procedures not clearly demonstrated to be associated with improved
  patient/client outcomes.

- Continuity of care is already a required SB 419 measure, so for simplicity, and greater likelihood of success, I believe the only additional area of focus should be on "mental health treatment integration."
- Lastly, it would be good to either risk adjust or assess performance on improvement rather than reward a specific target so as to encourage admission and treatment of those with the greatest need (SDOH challenges or SUD symptom burden).

- There is always the problem of attribution once a member changes MCOs. Does that person then get dropped from the data pool and the contract? All of the MCOs will struggle with sorting follow up and HEDIS data by member and this may present challenges to do in an automated fashion. Our systems are set up to collect HEDIS data on a population wide basis. Our suspicion would be that we would have to set up entirely different data collection systems to collect data at a member level.
- It may make more sense to bifurcate the alternative payment model to create objectives and contract terms for the residential facility, and a second set of objectives and contract terms for the identified outpatient provider agency. Of course, the scope required of the CCBHCs may encompass these objectives via the SPA without consideration of any kind of "bonus" model.
- Additionally, if the recovery residences are covered by Medicaid under the renewed waiver, it
  makes total sense for them to assume these responsibilities. Many individuals live in those
  homes for a year or more and data collection would be simplified.

#### Open Discussion

**Additional Questions or Comments?**