

## WV NF REIMBURSEMENT WORKGROUP MEETING MINUTES

DATE AND TIME	LOCATION	
Thursday, June 08, 2023	VIRTUAL via TEAMS	
9:00am – 10:30am EST		
ADVISORY COMMITTEE		
Member List Below		
Meeting Cadence: Bi-Weekly Meetings via Teams Meeting:		

## Attendees:

	Present?	Attendee	Present?	Attendee
	Х	Alex Montileone	Х	Lane Ellis
		Andy Page	Х	Lori Greer-Harris
	Х	Barbara Skeen	Х	Mandy Carpenter
	Х	Catie Mellott		Melanie Dempsey
	Х	Cindy Beane	Х	Michelle Pettey
Invitees:	Х	Dan Brendel	Х	Regina McCormick
	Х	David McCauley	Х	Shawn Eddy
	Х	Gregg Gibbs	Х	Sherry Jarvis
	Х	Jeanne Snow	Х	Terry McGee
	Х	Jeff Bush	Х	Todd Jones
	Х	Kayla McCully		Tonya Jones
		Kris Pattison	Х	Tracy Mitchell
			Х	Marty Wright

AGENDA ITEMS	LEAD	DURATION (MINS)
<ul> <li>1. Roll Call and Housekeeping</li> <li>See above for attendees</li> </ul>	Jeff Bush	5
<ul> <li>2. Rate System Discussion</li> <li>Touchbase – no feedback yet</li> </ul>	All	35
<ul> <li>3. Clinical Workgroup Update         <ul> <li>M&amp;S:</li> <li>The agenda, rule language and SPA will be sent to clinical workgroup after this call.</li> <li>Will research the start date for the actual quality QMs and special populations.</li> <li>Workgroup:</li> <li>Language still says October 1 for start date. Is that correct?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	All	10



W Resources		
4. Cost Report Changes Discussion		
Workgroup:		
<ul> <li>Funding options have been exhausted at the state.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Baker Tilly is going to back off of that and instead source</li> </ul>		
funding from providers with annual fees/up front assessment.		
<ul> <li>Baker Tilly is moving forward with development of cost report</li> </ul>		
and should have more details on the next call regarding		
timing.	All	5
<ul> <li>Discussed potential of state at least chipping in some to show</li> </ul>		5
that it is a collaborative effort		
DHHR:		
<ul> <li>We will continue to work with Baker Tilly on this project.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>BMS:</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>We can discuss the option of the state contributing some</li> </ul>		
funds to this project to show that it is a collaborative effort.		
5. Rule Language Discussion		
M&S:		
<ul> <li>Rate calculation and rule language were sent over yesterday.</li> </ul>		
Any feedback?		
<ul> <li>The current documents are set with the intent of meeting the</li> </ul>		
\$915M budget target but if any wording or items should		
change, we can workshop as appropriate.		
<ul> <li>Discussed due date of cost reports. Rule language indicates</li> </ul>		
March 1.		
Workgroup:		
<ul> <li>No feedback yet. Need to review the documents more</li> </ul>	All	25
thoroughly.	7 (11	20
<ul> <li>Discussed that SPA and CH 514 use same language where</li> </ul>		
applicable and that the SPA is the shell of what is being done		
but the policy document contains the details. Track changes		
are on.		
<ul> <li>Discussed cost report due date and believe that March 31 was</li> </ul>		
decided upon previously.		
<ul> <li>Discussed timing of when rule language needs to be</li> </ul>		
complete. Understanding is SPA needs to be submitted by		
July 1.		
6. Open Discussion	All	5
•		

MEETING ACTION ITEMS AND DECISIONS MADE		
Status	Task	Assigned To
Pondind	<b>Action</b> : Determine if cost report due date should be March 31 and update accordingly	M&S



Complete Decision Made:

All