

**WV NF Reimbursement Workgroup - Bi-Weekly Meetings  
MEETING MINUTES**

DATE AND TIME	LOCATION
Thursday, March 23, 2023 9:00 – 10:30am EST	VIRTUAL via TEAMS
ADVISORY COMMITTEE	
<b>Member List on Page 2</b>	
<b>Meeting Cadence: Bi-Weekly Meetings via Teams Meeting</b>	

**Attendees\*:** \*Not inclusive of Call-in Users.

	Present?	Attendee	Present?	Attendee
Invitees:	X	Alex Montileone	X	Lane Ellis
		Andy Page	X	Lori Greer-Harris
	X	Barbara Skeen		Mandy Carpenter
	X	Catie Mellott		Melanie Dempsey
		Cindy Beane		Michelle Pettey
	X	Dan Brendel		Regina McCormick
		David McCauley		Shawn Eddy
	X	Gregg Gibbs		Sherry Jarvis
	X	Jeanne Snow	X	Terry McGee
	X	Jeff Bush	X	Todd Jones
	X	Kayla McCully		Tonya Jones
		Kris Pattison		Tracy Mitchell
		Whitney Sharp	X	Marty Wright

AGENDA ITEMS	LEAD	DURATION (MINS)
<b>1. Roll Call/Housekeeping</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See above for attendees</li> </ul> <b>M/S:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Moving to weekly meetings</li> </ul>	Jeff Bush	5
<b>2. Special Population Analysis Discussion</b> <b>M/S:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Looked at those behavioral health markers with Massachusetts. Recommended allocating dollars on days as long as they meet a certain criteria.</li> <li>Discussed consideration of non-behavioral special populations and how they often have overlap with other areas already considered in CMI calculation under PDPM or under quality metrics. Trying to make sure we are not double reimbursing.</li> </ul> <b>Workgroup:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What is that quality metric going to be, who reviews it, and how is it going to be paid, who reviews it as the years go by. Administrative simplicity goes out the window with special populations having incentives.</li> </ul>	All	35

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Realize this is a work in process, our concern is looking at other states they are just doing it based on payment and there is no rationale. This at least gives us something to tie to and having an incentive to receive those payments.</li> <li>On our clinical call, we agreed both workgroups to meet with this; suggestion is we put it into quality measures. Putting a pool together like we are suggesting with a set pool of dollars.</li> <li>Workgroup does not think reimbursement is sufficient to incentivize a provider to take special populations patients because they take so much time.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>3. Cost Report Changes and Timeline Discussion</b> <b>Workgroup:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continuing to work on and get a set timeline</li> <li>Next week will provide a preliminary budget</li> </ul>	All	5
<p><b>4. Clinical Workgroup Update</b> <b>Workgroup:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More discussion for special populations</li> </ul>	All	10
<p><b>5. Methodology Decision Timeline</b> <b>M/S:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>End of April is projected deadline for methodology decisions</li> <li>October implementation for new methodology</li> </ul>	All	10

MEETING ACTION ITEMS AND DECISIONS MADE		
Status	Task	Assigned To
<b>Pending</b>	<b>Action:</b>	Myers and Stauffer
<b>Complete</b>	<b>Decision Made:</b>	All