

**WV Nursing Facility Reimbursement Workgroup
MEETING MINUTES**

DATE AND TIME	LOCATION
Meeting Date: 10/27/2022 9:00 – 10:30am EST	VIRTUAL via TEAMS
ADVISORY COMMITTEE	
Member List Below	
Meeting Cadence: Bi-Weekly Meetings via Teams Meeting	

Attendees*: *Not inclusive of Call-in Users.

	Present?	Attendee	Present?	Attendee
Invitees:	X	Alex Montileone	X	Lane Ellis
	X	Cindy Beane	X	Mandy Carpenter
	X	Catie Mellott	X	Michelle Pettey
	X	Dan Brendel	X	Terry McGee
		Gregg Gibbs		Tina Woollard
	X	Jeanne Snow	X	Todd Jones
	X	Jeff Bush	?	Tracy Mitchell

AGENDA ITEMS	LEAD	DURATION (MINS)
1. Roll Call/Housekeeping <ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Invitees above for attendance information 	Myers and Stauffer Jeff Bush	5
2. Discussion of M&S Model <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BMS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget increases with nursing facilities raises concerns to the state, and they need to show progress very soon. Sustainability for rate setting The goal is to be proactive and find solutions internally to avoid further conflict in the future. Workgroup: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Asked general questions about acuity and nursing factors. M&S: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In-depth description over the implementation and framework for the model. Described that the model is created by taking the most recent MDS data from the state and applying RUG and PDPM UPL methodologies to determine what Medicare would pay. Score and price based off most recent data. If nothing changes to the current system, the UPL could be potentially breached. 	Workgroup	45

<p>3. Current Reimbursement Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M&S: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Explained the Modified Medicaid specific approach vs. Medicare specific approach, and then opened up the floor for questions. ○ Suggested coming together next week for an additional meeting. ○ Will further analyze the data and see what the total barrier is for now. They will also see how far that barrier is from the Medicare number, positive or negative. ○ A new cost based UPL has not been calculated based on the new rates yet. Further analysis will show what this will look like under the new cost structure. ○ Explained that this will come down to what the state says. They will also meet internally and come up with answers regarding this topic. • Workgroup: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ There is still a significant amount of data to look at before making a decision. There is more analysis needed as well. ○ Requested copy of the “simple model” from M&S. ○ Expressed concerns over what number is revenue neutral and if there is a path forward without UPL issues. 	<p>Workgroup</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>4. Open Discussion</p>	<p>Myers and Stauffer</p>	<p>5</p>